	Case 2:22-cv-01990-KJM-JDP Docume	ent 27 Filed 11/21/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PAUL ZINDA,	Case No. 2:22-cv-01990-KJM-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14	AMADOR COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, et al.,	
15 16	Defendants.	
17 18	On October 10, 2023, defendant filed a motion to dismiss. ECF No. 24. To date, plaintiff	
19	has not filed a response. ¹	
20	To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet certain deadlines.	
21	The court may impose sanctions, including dismissing a case, for failure to comply with its orders	
22	or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S.	
23	Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir.	
24	1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer	
25	justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. See Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291	
26	F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.	
27 28	¹ On October 30, 2023, the Amador County Sheriff's Department filed a notice stating that plaintiff was released from custody on October 10, 2023. ECF No. 25. Plaintiff has not filed a current address with the court as required by Local Rule 183(b).	

Case 2:22-cv-01990-KJM-JDP Document 27 Filed 11/21/23 Page 2 of 2

I will give plaintiff a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his failure to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion to dismiss. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one days, an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 20, 2023

JEREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

- -